# A quantum mechanical version of Price's theorem for Gaussian states

Igor G. Vladimirov

arXiv:1409.4377v1 [quant-ph] 15 Sep 2014

**Abstract**

This paper is concerned with integro-differential identities which are known in statistical signal processing as Price's theorem for expectations of nonlinear functions of jointly Gaussian random variables. We revisit these relations for classical variables by using the Frechet differentiation with respect to covariance matrices, and then show that Price's theorem carries over to a quantum mechanical setting. The quantum counterpart of the theorem is established for Gaussian quantum states in the framework of the Weyl functional calculus for quantum variables satisfying the Heisenberg canonical commutation relations. The quantum mechanical version of Price's theorem relates the Frechet derivative of the generalized moment of such variables with respect to the real part of their quantum covariance matrix with other moments. As an illustrative example, we consider these relations for quadratic-exponential moments which are relevant to risk-sensitive quantum control.

**Index Terms**

Price's theorem, quantum variables, canonical commutation relations, Weyl quantization, Gaussian quantum state, generalized moment, integro-differential identity, quadratic-exponential moment.

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that Gaussian probability density functions (PDFs) provide fundamental solutions of the heat (or diffusion) equation for homogeneous media [4], [22] or the more general Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation [20] for linear stochastic systems driven by a Wiener process. This connection between Gaussian PDFs and linear second-order partial differential equations (PDEs) is a source of various integro-differential identities. In statistical signal processing, such relations attracted attention more than fifty years ago in the context of evaluating the generalized moments (that is, expectations of arbitrary nonlinear functions) of Gaussian random variables and became known under the generic name of Price's theorem [17]; see also [1], [10], [13], [21].

The identities, which constitute Price's theorem, relate the derivatives of the generalized moments with respect to the covariances of the Gaussian random variables with the expectations of the second-order derivatives of the nonlinear function. The latter moments can be easier to compute (for example, in the case of polynomials). Moreover, if the function satisfies a linear PDE with constant coefficients, then a "dual" PDE can be derived for the corresponding generalized moment. This, in principle, allows the moment to be computed (or the structure of its parameter dependence to be found) by solving a boundary value problem for the dual PDE, where the boundary conditions are obtained by using extreme values of the parameters of the Gaussian distribution, for which the moment is amenable to direct calculation.

A similar problem of averaging nonlinear functions of quantum variables often arises in the context of quantum stochastic systems [14]. These are models of open dynamical systems with noncommutative variables, which evolve in time and interact with their environment according to the laws of quantum mechanics [19]. For example, Gaussian stochastic linearization [24] of such systems, with dynamic variables satisfying the Heisenberg canonical commutation relations (CCRs) [11], employs mixed moments of the system variables and the Hamiltonian operators over Gaussian quantum states [16]. Furthermore, the performance criteria in quantum formulations of risk-sensitive dissipativity analysis and filtering/control design [3], [9], [25], [26] are organised as exponential moments of quadratic forms of quantum variables and are concerned with Gaussian quantum states in the case of linear systems [16].

This work is supported by the Australian Research Council. The author is with UNSW Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia. E-mail: igor.g.vladimirov@gmail.com.

A straightforward extension of Price's theorem to the quantum mechanical setting is complicated by the nontrivial problem of evaluating a nonlinear function (of several classical variables) at noncommutative quantum variables. In fact, such evaluation can be carried out in different ways, leading to different results which depend on additional conventions on ordering of the variables in their products, such as Wick's normal order and the related Kohn-Nirenberg calculus [5].

In the present paper, we show that a quantum analogue of Price's theorem can be established in the framework of the Weyl functional calculus [5], which extends classical functions to quantum variables (satisfying the Heisenberg CCRs) by using the Fourier transforms. More precisely, the quantum mechanical derivation combines the Fourier transforms with the quantum quasi-characteristic functions [2] of Gaussian quantum states. This is similar to the role of characteristic functions in one of the proofs of the classical version of Price's theorem in [1], [21]. The resulting quantum version of Price's theorem extends its particular cases which are known in quantum optics (where they are usually formulated in terms of the annihilation and creation operators); see, for example, [6], [18] and references therein.

The paper is organised as follows. In order to make the exposition self-contained, Section II revisits Price's classical theorem by using the Frechet differentiation with respect to covariance matrices. Section III outlines the Weyl quantization and establishes the quantum version of Price's theorem for Gaussian states. Section IV provides an illustrative example which considers the quadratic-exponential moments. Section V makes concluding remarks.

## II. PRICE'S THEOREM FOR CLASSICAL GAUSSIAN RANDOM VARIABLES

Suppose $X := (X_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued Gaussian random vector with mean $\mu := \mathbf{E}X$ and a positive definite covariance matrix $\Sigma := \mathbf{cov}(X) := \mathbf{E}(XX^{\mathrm{T}}) - \mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\mathbf{E}(\cdot)$ denotes expectation, and $(\cdot)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transpose. Unless indicated otherwise, vectors are organised as columns. Consider a generalized moment

$$\mathbf{E}f(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x =: g(\mu,\Sigma) \tag{1}$$

of the vector $X$, which is specified by a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, where

$$p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) := \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Sigma}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-\mu\|^2_{\Sigma^{-1}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2}$$

is the corresponding Gaussian PDF. Here, $\|v\|_M := \sqrt{v^{\mathrm{T}}Mv}$ is the Euclidean (semi-)norm of a vector $v$ associated with a real positive (semi-)definite symmetric matrix $M$. Differentiation of this PDF with respect to $\mu$ at a given but otherwise arbitrary point $x$ leads to

$$\partial_\mu \ln p_{\mu,\Sigma} = \frac{\partial_\mu p_{\mu,\Sigma}}{p_{\mu,\Sigma}} = \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu). \tag{3}$$

Similarly, the logarithmic Frechet derivative of the PDF $p_{\mu,\Sigma}$ with respect to the covariance matrix $\Sigma$ as an element of the Hilbert space $\mathbb{S}_n$ of real symmetric matrices of order $n$ (endowed with the Frobenius inner product [8] of such matrices $\langle K, N\rangle := \mathrm{Tr}(KN)$) is

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\Sigma \ln p_{\mu,\Sigma} &= \frac{\partial_\Sigma p_{\mu,\Sigma}}{p_{\mu,\Sigma}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^{\mathrm{T}}\Sigma^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, use is also made of the following Frechet derivatives:

$$\partial_\Sigma \ln\det\Sigma = \Sigma^{-1}, \qquad \partial_\Sigma(\|v\|^2_{\Sigma^{-1}}) = -\Sigma^{-1}vv^{\mathrm{T}}\Sigma^{-1}, \tag{5}$$

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a constant vector. The integro-differential identities, which are known under the generic name of Price's theorem, are based on the following relations between the derivatives in (3) and (4) and the gradient vector and Hessian matrix of the Gaussian PDF in (2) with respect to the state variables:

$$\partial_\mu p_{\mu,\Sigma} = -\partial_x p_{\mu,\Sigma}, \qquad \partial_\Sigma p_{\mu,\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 p_{\mu,\Sigma}. \tag{6}$$

Note that the first of these equalities is valid for an arbitrary differentiable (not necessarily Gaussian) PDF $p(x-\mu)$ which involves $\mu$ as a shift parameter. However, the second equality in (6) is a manifestation of the role which *Gaussian* PDFs play as fundamental solutions of the heat (or diffusion) PDEs [4], [22] for homogeneous anisotropic media:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle K, \partial_x^2 u\right\rangle, \tag{7}$$

where $K \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is a positive definite matrix of thermal conductivity (or diffusivity), and $u(t,x)$ describes the temperature (respectively, concentration) at time $t \geqslant 0$ and location $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The fundamental solution of this PDE is provided by the Gaussian PDF $p_{0,Kt}$ (the corresponding Gaussian distribution converges weakly to the atomic probability measure concentrated at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $t \to 0+$). The solution of the initial value problem for the PDE (7) with a continuous initial condition $u(0,\cdot)$ (growing not too fast at infinity) is described by the convolution of the latter with the heat kernel $p_{0,Kt}$:

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_{0,Kt}(x-y)u(0,y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Indeed, by letting $\Sigma := Kt$ for all $t > 0$ (with the time derivative $\dot{\Sigma} = K$), and combining the chain rule for composite functions with the second of the equalities (6), it follows that

$$\partial_t p_{0,\Sigma} = \left\langle \dot{\Sigma}, \partial_\Sigma p_{0,\Sigma}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle K, \partial_x^2 p_{0,\Sigma}\right\rangle.$$

Now, suppose the function $f$ in (1) is twice continuously differentiable and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the Hessian matrix of $f$ satisfies

$$p_{\mu,\Sigma}\partial_x^2 f = o(\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon|x|^2}), \qquad x \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

This condition at infinity ensures the convergence and parametric differentiability for the following improper integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu g(\mu,\Sigma) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\partial_\mu p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\partial_x p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x f(x) p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X), \qquad (9)\\
\partial_\Sigma g(\mu,\Sigma) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\partial_\Sigma p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\partial_x^2 p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x^2 f(x) p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X), \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where use is made of (6) and the integration by parts. Since, as mentioned before, the first equality in (6) holds for arbitrary differentiable PDFs with a shift parameter $\mu$, the identity (9) does not essentially employ the Gaussian nature of $p_{\mu,\Sigma}$. However, (10) is indeed specific for Gaussian PDFs and implies

that the partial derivatives of the generalized moment $g(\mu,\Sigma)$ in (1) with respect to the entries $\sigma_{jk}$ of the covariance matrix $\Sigma := (\sigma_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n}$ satisfy the integro-differential relations

$$\partial_{\sigma_{jj}} g(\mu,\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\partial_{x_j}^2 f(X), \tag{11}$$

$$\partial_{\sigma_{jk}} g(\mu,\Sigma) = \mathbf{E}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k} f(X), \quad 1 \leqslant j \neq k \leqslant n, \tag{12}$$

which constitute Price's theorem. Note that the $\frac{1}{2}$-factor is absent from (12) due to the symmetry of the covariance matrix $\Sigma$ and the Hessian matrix $\partial_x^2 f$. Indeed, for any given indices $j \neq k$, the first variation of $g(\mu,\Sigma)$ with respect to $\sigma_{jk}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\sigma_{jk}} g\,\delta\sigma_{jk} = \langle \partial_\Sigma g, \delta\Sigma\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X), \delta\Sigma\right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X), e_j e_k^{\mathrm{T}} + e_k e_j^{\mathrm{T}}\right\rangle \delta\sigma_{jk} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(e_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X) e_j + e_j^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X) e_k\right)\delta\sigma_{jk} \\
&= \mathbf{E}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k} f(X)\,\delta\sigma_{jk},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (12). Here, $\delta\Sigma = \delta\sigma_{jk}(e_j e_k^{\mathrm{T}} + e_k e_j^{\mathrm{T}})$ is the corresponding variation of $\Sigma$, and $e_k$ denotes the $k$th standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, if the function $f$ is $2m$ times continuously differentiable and, together with its partial derivatives up to order $2m-1$, satisfies (8), then repeated differentiation of (12) leads to

$$\partial_{\sigma_{jj}}^{\ell} g(\mu,\Sigma) = 2^{-\ell}\mathbf{E}\partial_{x_j}^{2\ell} f(X), \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_{\sigma_{jk}}^{\ell} g(\mu,\Sigma) = \mathbf{E}\partial_{x_j}^{\ell}\partial_{x_k}^{\ell} f(X), \quad 1 \leqslant j \neq k \leqslant n, \tag{14}$$

for all $\ell = 1,\dots,m$; cf. [17, Eq. (3)] and [10, Eq. (5)]. The identities (9)–(14) allow the moment $g$ of the Gaussian random vector $X$ in (1) to be found by using the other moments, associated with the derivatives of the function $f$, which can be easier to compute (for example, if $f$ is a polynomial). More generally, if the function $f$ satisfies a linear PDE with constant coefficients, then a "dual" PDE can be derived for the function $g$. This, in principle, allows $g$ to be found as a solution to the boundary value problem for the dual PDE, where the boundary conditions can be established by using those values of the parameters of the Gaussian distribution for which the moment lends itself to a straightforward calculation, for example, from symmetry considerations. We will now demonstrate this technique (in a similar fashion to the use of PDEs in the proof of the main theorem in [1]) for the moment-generating function of the Gaussian distribution:

$$g(\mu,\Sigma) := \mathbf{E}\,f(X), \qquad f(x) := \mathrm{e}^{\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} x}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is fixed but otherwise arbitrary. The function $f$ satisfies the following PDEs

$$\partial_x f = f\lambda, \qquad \partial_x^2 f = f\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, by applying Price's theorem (9) and (10) to (15), and using (16), it follows that the function $g$ satisfies the dual PDEs

$$\partial_\mu g = \mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X) = \lambda\mathbf{E}\,f(X) = g\lambda, \tag{17}$$

$$\partial_\Sigma g = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\,f(X) = \frac{1}{2}g\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{18}$$

Since the moment $g$ in (15) takes positive values, the PDEs (17) and (18) are representable in an equivalent logarithmic form:

$$\partial_\mu \ln g = \lambda, \qquad \partial_\Sigma \ln g = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

The right-hand sides of these two PDEs are independent of $\mu$ and $\Sigma$, and hence, their general solution $\ln g$ is an affine function of $\mu$ and $\Sigma$:

$$\ln g = C + \lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}, \Sigma\rangle = C + \lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2. \tag{19}$$

The additive constant $C$, which depends on $\lambda$, is calculated as $C = \ln g(0,0) = 0$ from the boundary condition $g(0,0) = \mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}0} = 1$. The latter follows from the fact that the Gaussian random vector $X$ collapses to zero as $\mu = 0$ and $\Sigma \to 0$. Therefore, (19) leads to the well-known expression for the moment generating function in (15):

$$g(\mu,\Sigma) = \mathrm{e}^{\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2}.$$

Also note that Price's theorem admits a dynamic formulation for a Gaussian random process $X$. In this setting, the mean vector $\mu$, the covariance matrix $\Sigma$ and the generalized moment $g$ in (1) acquire dependence on time, and the total time derivative of $g$ can be computed by combining the chain rule with (9) and (10) as

$$(\mathbf{E}f(X))^{\cdot} = \dot\mu^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X) + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \dot\Sigma, \mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X)\right\rangle. \tag{20}$$

In particular, this relation becomes a directly verifiable identity for quadratic functions $f$. Indeed, in this case, $\partial_x^2 f$ is a constant matrix and $\partial_x f$ is an affine function of $x$, which allows $\mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X)$ to be expressed in terms of $\mu$. More precisely, if

$$f(x) := \beta^{\mathrm{T}}x + \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathrm{T}}Rx, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{21}$$

where $\beta := (\beta_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a constant vector and $R := (r_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is a constant matrix, then (20) takes the form

$$\left(\beta^{\mathrm{T}}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu^{\mathrm{T}}R\mu + \langle R,\Sigma\rangle\right)\right)^{\cdot} = \dot\mu^{\mathrm{T}}(\beta + R\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \dot\Sigma, R\right\rangle.$$

## III. QUANTUM MECHANICAL VERSION OF PRICE'S THEOREM

Now, let $X := (X_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}$ be a vector of $n$ quantum variables, which are self-adjoint operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ representing real-valued physical quantities [11], [19]. Suppose the quantum variables $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ satisfy the Heisenberg CCRs (on a dense domain in $\mathscr{H}$):

$$[X_j, X_k] = 2i\theta_{jk}\mathscr{I}, \qquad 1 \leqslant j,k \leqslant n. \tag{22}$$

Here, $[\xi,\eta] := \xi\eta - \eta\xi$ is the commutator of operators, $i := \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit, and $\Theta := (\theta_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n}$ is a real antisymmetric matrix of order $n$ (we denote the subspace of such matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ by $\mathbb{A}_n$). Also, $\mathscr{I}$ denotes the identity operator on the space $\mathscr{H}$, which carries out an appropriate ampliation of entries of the CCR matrix $\Theta$ to linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$ and will be omitted for brevity. A vector-matrix form of the CCRs (22) is

$$[X, X^{\mathrm{T}}] := \big([X_j, X_k]\big)_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n} = XX^{\mathrm{T}} - (XX^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}} = 2i\Theta, \tag{23}$$

where $\Theta$ represents $\Theta \otimes \mathscr{I}$, with $\otimes$ the tensor product, and the transpose $(\cdot)^{\mathrm{T}}$ acts on matrices of operators as if their entries were scalars. In particular, the CCRs hold for the quantum mechanical position $q$ and momentum $p$ operators [11] given by

$$q = \frac{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad p := -i\partial_q = \frac{\mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{a}^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2}} \tag{24}$$

on a dense domain in the Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions on the real line of positions, where $\partial_q$ is the partial derivative with respect to the position variable $q$, and units are chosen so that the reduced Planck constant is $\hbar = 1$. Here, $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{q+\partial_q}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger = \frac{q-\partial_q}{\sqrt{2}}$ are the annihilation and creation operators [19, pp. 90–91] satisfying the CCR $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}^\dagger] = 1$, with $(\cdot)^\dagger$ the operator adjoint. Accordingly, $[q,p] = -i[q,\partial_q] = i$, and hence, the CCR matrix of the position and momentum operators in (24) is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{J}$ in the sense that

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} q \\ p \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} q & p \end{bmatrix}\right] := \begin{bmatrix} [q,q] & [q,p] \\ [p,q] & [p,p] \end{bmatrix} = i\mathbf{J}, \quad \mathbf{J} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

cf. (23). Note that the matrix $\mathbf{J}$ spans the subspace $\mathbb{A}_2$, and $-i\mathbf{J}$ is the second Pauli matrix [19]. The problem of evaluating a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ at the noncommutative quantum variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is nontrivial even if $f$ is a polynomial. The Weyl quantization [5] endows $f(X)$ with the following meaning:

$$f(X) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda) \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} X} \mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{26}$$

In this definition, $\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} X = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k X_k$ is a self-adjoint operator on the underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, which is a linear combination of the operators $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with real coefficients $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ comprising the vector $\lambda := (\lambda_k)_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is the Fourier transform of the real-valued function $f$:

$$F(\lambda) := (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} x} \mathrm{d}x = \overline{F(-\lambda)}, \tag{27}$$

with $\overline{(\cdot)}$ the complex conjugate. Note that the quantum mechanical definition of $f(X)$ replaces the complex number $\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} x}$ of unit modulus with the unitary Weyl operator $\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} X}$. Therefore, in the case when the Fourier transform $F$ is absolutely integrable, (26) can be understood as a Bochner integral [27] whose value is a bounded operator on the space $\mathscr{H}$ with the norm bound

$$\| f(X) \| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\lambda)| \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

The quantum variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are said to be in a Gaussian quantum state [16] if the corresponding quantum quasi-characteristic function [2] of the vector $X$ has the following form:

$$\mathbf{E} \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} X} = \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} \mu - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} S \lambda} = \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} \mu - \frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{E}\xi := \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\xi)$ denotes the expectation of a quantum variable $\xi$ over the density operator $\rho$ which specifies the quantum state [7]. Also, $\mu := \mathbf{E}X$ is the mean vector of $X$ as before, and $\Sigma$ is the real part of a complex Hermitian matrix $S$ which is the quantum covariance matrix of the vector $X$:

$$S := \mathbf{cov}(X) = \Sigma + i\Theta, \qquad \Sigma := \mathrm{Re} S. \tag{29}$$

The imaginary part $\mathrm{Im} S = \Theta$ is the CCR matrix from (23) which (in contrast to $\Sigma$) does not depend on the density operator $\rho$. In view of the generalized Heisenberger uncertainty principle [7], the matrix $S$ in (29) is positive semi-definite. Now, suppose the underlying density operator $\rho$ is varied so as to yield Gaussian states with different values of the parameters $\mu$ and $\Sigma$, while the vector $X$ of quantum

variables remains unchanged. This quantum mechanical setting admits the following analogue of Price's theorem.

*Theorem 1:* Suppose the Fourier transform $F$ of the function $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ in (27) satisfies a weighted integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|F(\lambda)|\left(1+|\lambda|^2\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda<+\infty \tag{30}$$

(which is stronger than the absolute integrability of $F$). Also, let the vector $X$ of quantum variables, satisfying the CCRs (23), be in a Gaussian quantum state with a positive definite quantum covariance matrix $S$ in (29). Then the generalized moments of $X$, specified by the Weyl quantizations of $f$, the gradient vector $\partial_x f$ and the Hessian matrix $\partial_x^2 f$ in the sense of (26), are related by

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{E} f(X)=\mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X), \tag{31}$$

$$\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} f(X)=\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X), \tag{32}$$

$$\partial_\mu^2 \mathbf{E} f(X)=2\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} f(X). \tag{33}$$

*Proof:* Similarly to the case of classical random variables discussed in Section II, the relation (31) follows from the identity

$$\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}(X+z)}=\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}z}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}, \tag{34}$$

which holds for all $\lambda,z\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and the property that the mean vector $\mu$ is a shift parameter of the Gaussian quantum state. Indeed, differentiation of (34) with respect to the translation vector $z$ leads to

$$\partial_\mu \mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}=\partial_z \mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}(X+z)}\big|_{z=0}=i\lambda\mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}, \tag{35}$$

which can also be obtained directly from (28). A combination of (26) with (35) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu \mathbf{E} f(X)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F(\lambda)\partial_\mu \mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\mathrm{d}\lambda\\&=i\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F(\lambda)\lambda\mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\mathrm{d}\lambda\\&=i\mathbf{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F(\lambda)\lambda\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\mathrm{d}\lambda=\mathbf{E}\partial_x f(X),\end{aligned}$$

thus establishing (31). Here, use is also made of the Fourier transform

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial_x f(x)\mathrm{e}^{-i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}x}\mathrm{d}x=iF(\lambda)\lambda$$

for the gradient $\partial_x f$, whereby the Weyl quantization of $\partial_x f(X)$ takes the form

$$\partial_x f(X)=i\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F(\lambda)\lambda\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

The latter is a well-defined Bochner integral since the condition (30) implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|F(\lambda)||\lambda|\mathrm{d}\lambda<+\infty$. We will now prove (32). Differentiation of the Gaussian characteristic function in (28) with respect to the matrix $\Sigma$, satisfying $\Sigma\succ -i\Theta$ (such matrices $\Sigma$ form an open set in $\mathbb{S}_n$), leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}&=\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu}\partial_\Sigma \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2}\\&=-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2}\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}=-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for any fixed but otherwise arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, the Frechet derivative $\partial_\Sigma(\|\lambda\|_\Sigma^2) = \lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}$ corresponds to that in the second of the relations (5). Therefore, under the condition (30), it follows from (26) and (36) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} f(X) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda)\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X} d\lambda \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda)\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The Fourier transform of the Hessian matrix $\partial_x^2 f$ is representable as

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x^2 f(x) e^{-i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}x} dx = -F(\lambda)\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{38}$$

and hence, the corresponding Weyl quantization of $\partial_x^2 f(X)$ is given by

$$\partial_x^2 f(X) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda)\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X} d\lambda. \tag{39}$$

The second integral in (37) can be obtained by averaging that in (39), which leads to

$$\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} f(X) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda)\lambda\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X),$$

thus establishing (32). Finally, by applying (31) twice and using (32), it follows that

$$\partial_\mu^2 \mathbf{E} f(X) = \mathbf{E}\partial_x^2 f(X) = 2\partial_\Sigma \mathbf{E} f(X),$$

which proves the relation (33) and completes the proof of the theorem. ■

In establishing the quantum analogue of Price's theorem, we have essentially used the proof [1], [21] of its original classical version based on Fourier transforms, since the latter underlie the Weyl quantization. Note that quantum Price's theorem (32) can, in principle, be extended to the case, where the Fourier transform $F$ in (27) is a generalized function [23], with (26) being understood in an appropriate distributional sense. This includes (but is not limited to) the class of polynomials $f$. For example, the quadratic function $f$ in (21) has the following Fourier transform:

$$F(\lambda) = i\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \partial_{\lambda_j}\delta(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^n r_{jk}\partial_{\lambda_j}\partial_{\lambda_k}\delta(\lambda), \tag{40}$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the $n$-dimensional Dirac delta function. Here, for any $n$-index $\alpha := (\alpha_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ (with $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of nonnegative integers), the value of the generalized function $\partial_\lambda^\alpha\delta(\lambda)$ at an $|\alpha|$ times continuously differentiable function $\lambda \mapsto \varphi(\lambda)$ is $(-1)^{|\alpha|}\partial_\lambda^\alpha\varphi(0)$, where the standard multiindex conventions $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n$ and $\partial_\lambda^\alpha := \partial_{\lambda_1}^{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial_{\lambda_n}^{\alpha_n}$ are used. In the noncommutative case being considered, the mixed partial derivatives of $e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}$ with respect to the entries of the vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be calculated by using the factorization

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X} &= \prod_{k=1}^{\rightarrow n} e^{i\lambda_k X_k - \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} i\lambda_j X_j,\ i\lambda_k X_k\right]} \\ &= e^{i\sum_{1\leqslant j<k\leqslant n}\theta_{jk}\lambda_j\lambda_k}\prod_{k=1}^{\rightarrow n} e^{i\lambda_k X_k} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}\lambda}\prod_{k=1}^{\rightarrow n} e^{i\lambda_k X_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The latter is obtained by repeated application of the CCRs (22), the bilinearity of the commutator, and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula $e^{\xi+\eta} = e^\xi e^\eta e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\xi,\eta]}$ for operators $\xi$ and $\eta$ which commute

with their commutator [6, pp. 128–129]. Also, $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{k=1}^{n}\xi_k := \xi_1 \times \ldots \times \xi_n$ denotes the ordered product of operators $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$, and the matrix $\widetilde{\Theta} := (\widetilde{\theta}_{jk})_{1\leqslant j,k\leqslant n} \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{kj} := \widetilde{\theta}_{jk} := \theta_{jk}, \qquad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant n,$$

thus inheriting zero diagonal entries from the CCR matrix $\Theta$. In particular, the CCRs of the position and momentum operators in (25) lead to

$$\widetilde{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the product structure of the right-hand side of (41), it follows that

$$\partial_\lambda^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\big|_{\lambda=0} = \alpha! \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n:\, \gamma\leqslant\alpha} \frac{i^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!(\alpha-\gamma)!}\partial_\lambda^{\alpha-\gamma}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\widetilde{\Theta}\lambda}\big|_{\lambda=0} X^\gamma \tag{42}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Here, the inequality $\gamma \leqslant \alpha$ applies entry-wise, $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \times \ldots \times \alpha_n!$, and $X^\alpha := \overrightarrow{\prod}_{k=1}^{n} X_k^{\alpha_k}$. Substitution of (40) into (26) and application of (42) indeed leads to the quadratic function $f(X) = \beta^{\mathrm{T}}X + \frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}RX$ of the quantum variables.

## IV. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE: QUADRATIC-EXPONENTIAL MOMENTS

Consider a quadratic-exponential moment for the vector $X$ of quantum variables from Section III in a Gaussian state with the mean vector $\mu$ and quantum covariance matrix $S$ in (29):

$$g(\mu,\Sigma,\Pi) = \mathbf{E}f(X), \quad f(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathrm{T}}\Pi x}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{43}$$

Here, the matrix $\Pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ plays the role of a parameter. In addition to being of interest to quantum probability in their own right (see, for example, [12, pp. 274–276]), such moments (with $\Pi \prec 0$) are employed in the risk-sensitive dissipativity analysis and filtering/control design [3], [9], [25], [26] for quantum stochastic systems. The asymptotic behaviour of the quadratic-exponential moment $g$ in (43) for small matrices $\Pi$ is described by

$$g = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\mu\|_\Pi^2 + \langle\Sigma,\Pi\rangle\right) + o(\Pi), \qquad \Pi \to 0. \tag{44}$$

Since $\mathrm{e}^\xi \succcurlyeq \mathscr{I} + \xi$ for any self-adjoint operator $\xi$ on the underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, the affine part of (44) provides a lower bound:

$$g \geqslant \mathbf{E}\Big(\mathscr{I} - \frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}\Pi X\Big) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\mu\|_\Pi^2 + \langle\Sigma,\Pi\rangle\right).$$

In the case $\Pi \succ 0$, the Fourier transform $F$ of the function $f$ in (43) is a Gaussian PDF from (2) with zero mean and covariance matrix $\Pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|_\Pi^2 - i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}x}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|_{\Pi^{-1}}^2} = p_{0,\Pi}(\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

and hence, $F$ satisfies the assumption (30) of Theorem 1. In the framework of the Weyl quantization for $f(X)$, the quadratic-exponential moment $g$ in (43) can be computed by substituting (45) into (26)

and using the Gaussian characteristic function (28):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}\Pi X} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_{0,\Pi}(\lambda)\mathbf{E}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}X}\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\det\Pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{i\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}\mu-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^2_{\Pi^{-1}+\Sigma}}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\mu\|^2_\Psi}}{\sqrt{\det(I_n+\Sigma\Pi)}},\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $I_n$ denotes the identity matrix of order $n$, and $\Psi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is an auxiliary matrix given by

$$\Psi := (\Pi^{-1}+\Sigma)^{-1} = \Pi(I_n+\Sigma\Pi)^{-1}. \tag{47}$$

The closed-form representation of the moment $g$ in (46) allows the relation (33) of Theorem 1 to be verified directly as

$$\partial^2_\mu g = g(\Psi\mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}}\Psi-\Psi) = 2\partial_\Sigma g,$$

because a combination of the identities (5) with (47) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\Sigma \ln\det(I_n+\Sigma\Pi) &= \Psi, \\ \partial_\Sigma(\|\mu\|^2_\Psi) &= -\Psi\mu\mu^{\mathrm{T}}\Psi.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the calculations in (46) substantially rely on the Weyl quantization of the quadratic-exponential function $f$ in (43) under the assumption that $\Pi \succ 0$. The result would be different if the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{k!}(-\frac{1}{2}X^{\mathrm{T}}\Pi X)^k$ were used instead.

## V. CONCLUSION

We have revisited Price's classical theorem for generalized moments of jointly Gaussian random variables by using a unified apparatus of the Frechet differentiation with respect to covariance matrices. By combining the quantum quasi-characteristic functions with Fourier transforms, we have shown that similar integro-differential identities hold for expectations of Weyl quantization integrals evaluated at quantum variables satisfying Heisenberg CCRs in Gaussian states. The quantum mechanical version of Price's theorem involves the Frechet derivative of the generalized moment of such variables with respect to the real part of their quantum covariance matrix. We have considered an illustrative example of the quadratic-exponential moments. The techniques, which have been used in this paper, are applicable to computing nonlinear performance criteria for linear quantum stochastic systems, such as those in risk-sensitive quantum control problems.

## REFERENCES


[1] J.L.Brown, A Generalized form of Price's theorem and its converse, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 13, no. 1, 1967, pp. 27–30.
[2] C.D.Cushen, and R.L.Hudson, A quantum-mechanical central limit theorem, *J. Appl. Prob.*, vol. 8, no. 3, 1971, pp. 454–469.
[3] C.D'Helon, A.C.Doherty, M.R.James, and S.D.Wilson, Quantum risk-sensitive control, Proc. 45th IEEE CDC, San Diego, CA, USA, December 13–15, 2006, pp. 3132–3137.
[4] L.C.Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
[5] G.B.Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.
[6] C.W.Gardiner, and P.Zoller, *Quantum Noise*. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
[7] A.S.Holevo, *Statistical Structure of Quantum Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
[8] R.A.Horn, and C.R.Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.
[9] M.R.James, A quantum Langevin formulation of risk-sensitive optimal control, *J. Opt. B*, vol. 7, 2005, pp. S198–S207.
[10] E.McMahon, An extension of Price's theorem, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 10, no. 2, 1964, p. 168.
[11] E.Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd Ed., Wiley, New York, 1998.
[12] P.-A.Meyer, *Quantum Probability for Probabilists*, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
[13] A.Papoulis, Comments on 'An extension of Price's theorem' by McMahon, E.L., *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 11, no. 1, 1965, p. 154.

[14] K.R.Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
[15] K.R.Parthasarathy, What is a Gaussian state? *Commun. Stoch. Anal.*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2010, pp. 143–160.
[16] I.R.Petersen, Quantum linear systems theory, Proc. 19th Int. Symp. Math. Theor. Networks Syst., Budapest, Hungary, July 5–9, 2010, pp. 2173–2184.
[17] R.Price, A useful theorem for nonlinear devices having Gaussian inputs, *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 4, no. 2, 1958, pp. 69–72.
[18] E.B.Rockower, and N.B.Abraham, Calculating generating functions from characteristic functions, with application to quantum optics, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 11, no. 10, 1978, pp. 1879–1884.
[19] J.J.Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1994.
[20] D.W.Stroock, Partial differential equations for probabilists, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
[21] A.van den Bos, Nonlinear statistical signal processing: useful theorems and their application, Proc. IEEE-EURASIP Workshop on Nonlinear Signal and Image Processing (NSIP'99), Antalya, Turkey, June 20–23, 1999, pp. 603–606.
[22] V.S.Vladimirov, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, M.Dekker, New York, 1971.
[23] V.S.Vladimirov, *Methods of the Theory of Generalized Functions*, Taylor & Francis, London, 2002.
[24] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Gaussian stochastic linearization for open quantum systems using quadratic approximation of Hamiltonians, Proc. MTNS 2012, Melbourne, Victoria, 9–13 July 2012, (preprint: arXiv:1202.0946v1 [quant-ph], 5 February 2012).
[25] I.G.Vladimirov, and I.R.Petersen, Risk-sensitive dissipativity of linear quantum stochastic systems under Lur'e type perturbations of Hamiltonians, Proc. AUCC 2012, Sydney, Australia, 15–16 November 2012, pp. 247–252 (preprint: arXiv:1205.3566v1 [quant-ph], 16 May 2012).
[26] N.Yamamoto, and L.Bouten, Quantum risk-sensitive estimation and robustness, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 54, no. 1, 2009, pp. 92–107.
[27] K.Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 6th Ed., Springer, Berlin, 1980.